



# The Commonwealth of Massachusetts

## STATE ELECTION

NORTHAMPTON

*William Francis Galvin*  
SECRETARY OF THE  
COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS

### OFFICIAL ABSENTEE BALLOT

Tuesday, November 6, 2018

782/780

SAMPLE    SMPLE    SMPLE    SMPLE    SMPLE    SMPLE    SMPLE    SMPLE    SMPLE

To vote for a candidate, fill in the oval ☐ to the right of the candidate's name. To vote for a person not on the ballot, write the person's name and residence in the blank space provided and fill in the oval.

#### SENATOR IN CONGRESS

Vote for ONE

ELIZABETH A. WARREN +++++ Democratic  
24 Linnaean St., Cambridge  
Candidate for Re-election

GEOFF DIEHL +++++ Republican  
10 Village Way, Whitman

SHIVA AYYADURAI +++++ Independent  
69 Snake Hill Rd., Belmont

DO NOT VOTE IN THIS SPACE.  
USE BLANK LINE BELOW FOR WRITE-IN.

WRITE-IN SPACE ONLY

#### GOVERNOR AND LIEUTENANT GOVERNOR

Vote for ONE

BAKER and POLITO +++++ Republican

GONZALEZ and PALFREY +++++ Democratic

DO NOT VOTE IN THIS SPACE.  
USE BLANK LINE BELOW FOR WRITE-IN.

WRITE-IN SPACE ONLY

#### ATTORNEY GENERAL

Vote for ONE

MAURA HEALEY +++++ Democratic  
40 Winthrop St., Boston  
Candidate for Re-election

JAMES R. McMAHON, III +++++ Republican  
14 Canal View Rd., Bourne

DO NOT VOTE IN THIS SPACE.  
USE BLANK LINE BELOW FOR WRITE-IN.

WRITE-IN SPACE ONLY

#### SECRETARY OF STATE

Vote for ONE

WILLIAM FRANCIS GALVIN +++++ Democratic  
46 Lake St., Boston  
Candidate for Re-election

ANTHONY M. AMORE +++++ Republican  
182 Norfolk Ave., Swampscott

JUAN G. SANCHEZ, JR. +++++ Green-Rainbow  
362 High St., Holyoke

DO NOT VOTE IN THIS SPACE.  
USE BLANK LINE BELOW FOR WRITE-IN.

WRITE-IN SPACE ONLY

#### TREASURER

Vote for ONE

DEBORAH B. GOLDBERG +++++ Democratic  
37 Hyslop Rd., Brookline  
Candidate for Re-election

KEIKO M. ORRALL +++++ Republican  
120 Crooked Ln., Lakeville

JAMIE M. GUERIN +++++ Green-Rainbow  
386 Pleasant St., Northampton

DO NOT VOTE IN THIS SPACE.  
USE BLANK LINE BELOW FOR WRITE-IN.

WRITE-IN SPACE ONLY

#### AUDITOR

Vote for ONE

SUZANNE M. BUMP +++++ Democratic  
6 Hoe Shop St., Easton  
Candidate for Re-election

HELEN BRADY +++++ Republican  
1630 Monument St., Concord

DANIEL FISHMAN +++++ Libertarian  
36 Colgate Rd., Beverly

EDWARD J. STAMAS +++++ Green-Rainbow  
42 Laurel Park, Northampton

DO NOT VOTE IN THIS SPACE.  
USE BLANK LINE BELOW FOR WRITE-IN.

WRITE-IN SPACE ONLY

SAMPLE    SMPLE    SMPLE    SMPLE    SMPLE    SMPLE

#### REPRESENTATIVE IN CONGRESS

SECOND DISTRICT

Vote for ONE

JAMES P. MCGOVERN +++++ Democratic  
393 Burncoat St., Worcester  
Candidate for Re-election

TRACY LYN LOVVORN +++++ Republican  
225 Magill Dr., Grafton

DO NOT VOTE IN THIS SPACE.  
USE BLANK LINE BELOW FOR WRITE-IN.

WRITE-IN SPACE ONLY

#### COUNCILLOR

EIGHTH DISTRICT

Vote for ONE

MARY E. HURLEY +++++ Democratic  
15 Fields Dr., East Longmeadow  
Candidate for Re-election

MIKE FRANCO +++++ Massachusetts Independent  
7 Primrose Ln., Holyoke

DO NOT VOTE IN THIS SPACE.  
USE BLANK LINE BELOW FOR WRITE-IN.

WRITE-IN SPACE ONLY

#### SENATOR IN GENERAL COURT

HAMPSHIRE, FRANKLIN & WORCESTER DISTRICT

Vote for ONE

JOANNE M. COMERFORD +++++ Democratic  
186 Federal St., Northampton

DO NOT VOTE IN THIS SPACE.  
USE BLANK LINE BELOW FOR WRITE-IN.

WRITE-IN SPACE ONLY

#### REPRESENTATIVE IN GENERAL COURT

FIRST HAMPSHIRE DISTRICT

Vote for ONE

LINDSAY N. SABADOSA +++++ Democratic  
237 Riverside Dr., Northampton

DO NOT VOTE IN THIS SPACE.  
USE BLANK LINE BELOW FOR WRITE-IN.

WRITE-IN SPACE ONLY

#### DISTRICT ATTORNEY

NORTHWESTERN DISTRICT

Vote for ONE

DAVID E. SULLIVAN +++++ Democratic  
4 Taft Ave., Easthampton  
Candidate for Re-election

DO NOT VOTE IN THIS SPACE.  
USE BLANK LINE BELOW FOR WRITE-IN.

WRITE-IN SPACE ONLY

#### CLERK OF COURTS

HAMPSHIRE COUNTY

Vote for ONE

HARRY J. JEKANOWSKI, JR. +++++ Democratic  
14 Kosior Dr., Hadley  
Candidate for Re-election

DO NOT VOTE IN THIS SPACE.  
USE BLANK LINE BELOW FOR WRITE-IN.

WRITE-IN SPACE ONLY

#### REGISTER OF DEEDS

HAMPSHIRE DISTRICT

Vote for ONE

MARY K. OLBERDING +++++ Democratic  
272 Aldrich St., Belchertown  
Candidate for Re-election

DO NOT VOTE IN THIS SPACE.  
USE BLANK LINE BELOW FOR WRITE-IN.

WRITE-IN SPACE ONLY

#### QUESTION 1 LAW PROPOSED BY INITIATIVE PETITION

Do you approve of a law summarized below, on which no vote was taken by the Senate or the House of Representatives on or before May 2, 2018?

##### SUMMARY

This proposed law would limit how many patients could be assigned to each registered nurse in Massachusetts hospitals and certain other health care facilities. The maximum number of patients per registered nurse would vary by type of unit and level of care, as follows:

- In units with step-down/intermediate care patients: 3 patients per nurse;
- In units with post-anesthesia care or operating room patients: 1 patient under anesthesia per nurse; 2 patients post-anesthesia per nurse;
- In the emergency services department: 1 critical or intensive care patient per nurse (or 2 if the nurse has assessed each patient's condition as stable); 2 urgent non-stable patients per nurse; 3 urgent stable patients per nurse; or 5 non-urgent stable patients per nurse;
- In units with maternity patients: (a) active labor patients: 1 patient per nurse; (b) during birth and for up to two hours immediately postpartum: 1 mother per nurse and 1 baby per nurse; (c) when the condition of the mother and baby are determined to be stable: 1 mother and her baby or babies per nurse; (d) postpartum: 6 patients per nurse; (e) intermediate care or continuing care babies: 2 babies per nurse; (f) well-babies: 6 babies per nurse;
- In units with pediatric, medical, surgical, telemetry, or observational/outpatient treatment patients, or any other unit: 4 patients per nurse; and
- In units with psychiatric or rehabilitation patients: 5 patients per nurse.

The proposed law would require a covered facility to comply with the patient assignment limits without reducing its level of nursing, service, maintenance, clerical, professional, and other staff.

The proposed law would also require every covered facility to develop a written patient acuity tool for each unit to evaluate the condition of each patient. This tool would be used by nurses in deciding whether patient limits should be lower than the limits of the proposed law at any given time.

The proposed law would not override any contract in effect on January 1, 2019 that set higher patient limits. The proposed law's limits would take effect after any such contract expired.

The state Health Policy Commission would be required to promulgate regulations to implement the proposed law. The Commission could conduct inspections to ensure compliance with the law. Any facility receiving written notice from the Commission of a complaint or a violation would be required to submit a written compliance plan to the Commission. The Commission could report violations to the state Attorney General, who could file suit to obtain a civil penalty of up to \$25,000 per violation as well as up to \$25,000 for each day a violation continued after the Commission notified the covered facility of the violation. The Health Policy Commission would be required to establish a toll-free telephone number for complaints and a website where complaints, compliance plans, and violations would appear.

The proposed law would prohibit discipline or retaliation against any employee for complying with the patient assignment limits of the law. The proposed law would require every covered facility to post within each unit, patient room, and waiting area a notice explaining the patient limits and how to report violations. Each day of a facility's non-compliance with the posting requirement would be punishable by a civil penalty between \$250 and \$2,500.

The proposed law's requirements would be suspended during a state or nationally declared public health emergency.

The proposed law states that, if any of its parts were declared invalid, the other parts would stay in effect. The proposed law would take effect on January 1, 2019.

A YES VOTE would limit the number of patients that could be assigned to one registered nurse in hospitals and certain other health care facilities.

A NO VOTE would make no change in current laws relative to patient-to-nurse limits.

YES ☐

NO ☐

